

## Current Impact of Cardiopulmonary Bypass in Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting in São Paulo State

Gabrielle Barbosa Borgomoni,<sup>1</sup> Omar Asdrúbal Vilca Mejía,<sup>1,2</sup> Bianca Maria Maglia Orlandi,<sup>1</sup> Maxim Goncharov,<sup>1</sup> Luiz Augusto Ferreira Lisboa,<sup>1</sup> Pedro Henrique Conte,<sup>3</sup> Marco Antonio Praca Oliveira,<sup>3</sup> Alfredo Inácio Fiorelli,<sup>1</sup> Orlando Petrucci Junior,<sup>4</sup> Marcos Grandim Tiveron,<sup>5</sup> Luís Alberto de Oliveira Dallan,<sup>1</sup> Fabio Biscegli Jatene<sup>1</sup>

Universidade de São Paulo - Faculdade de Medicina Hospital das Clínicas - Instituto do Coração,<sup>1</sup> São Paulo, SP - Brazil

Hospital Samaritano Paulista,<sup>2</sup> São Paulo, SP - Brazil

Beneficência Portuguesa de São Paulo,<sup>3</sup> São Paulo, SP - Brazil

Universidade Estadual Campinas FCM Unicamp - Faculdade de Ciências Médicas,<sup>4</sup> Campinas, SP - Brazil

Hospital Santa Casa de Misericórdia Marília,<sup>5</sup> Marília, SP - Brazil

### Abstract

**Background:** Previous results on the use of cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) have generated difficulties in choosing the best treatment for each patient undergoing myocardial revascularization surgery (CABG) in the current context.

**Objective:** Evaluate the current impact of CPB in CABG in São Paulo State.

**Methods:** A total of 2905 patients who underwent CABG were consecutively analyzed in 11 São Paulo State centers belonging to the São Paulo Registry of Cardiovascular Surgery (REPLICCAR) I. Perioperative and follow-up data were included online by trained specialists in each hospital. Associations of the perioperative variables with the type of procedure and with the outcomes were analyzed. The study outcomes were morbidity and operative mortality. The expected mortality was calculated using EuroSCORE II (ESII). The values of  $p < 5\%$  were considered significant.

**Results:** There were no significant differences concerning the patients' age between the groups ( $p=0.081$ ). 72.9% of the patients were males. Of the patients, 542 underwent surgery without CPB (18.7%). Of the preoperative characteristics, patients with previous myocardial infarction ( $p=0.005$ ) and ventricular dysfunction ( $p=0.031$ ) underwent surgery with CPB. However, emergency or New York Heart Association (NYHA) class IV patients underwent surgery without CPB ( $p<0.001$ ). The ESII value was similar in both groups ( $p=0.427$ ). In CABG without CPB, the radial graft was preferred ( $p<0.001$ ), and in CABG with CPB the right mammary artery was the preferred one ( $p<0.001$ ). In the postoperative period, CPB use was associated with reoperation for bleeding ( $p=0.012$ ).

**Conclusion:** Currently in the REPLICCAR, reoperation for bleeding was the only outcome associated with the use of CPB in CABG. (Arq Bras Cardiol. 2020; [online].ahead print, PP.0-0)

**Keywords:** Extracorporeal Circulation; Risk Factors; Myocardial Revascularization; epidemiology; Hospital Mortality; Postoperative Care; Morbidity.

### Introduction

The coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery is one of the most studied procedures and, consequently, excellent results have been achieved.<sup>1</sup> The advent of cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) has, undoubtedly, allowed establishing CABG as a safe, effective, and reproducible treatment, although there has always been a concern about the influence of CPB on morbimortality.<sup>2</sup> The first analysis that compared CABG with and without CPB was carried out in low-risk patients and did not show any significant differences.<sup>3</sup> Over time, CPB control

was improved and short-term benefits began to be found only for subgroups at greater risks.<sup>4</sup> However, more recent randomized studies that did not find short-term differences disclosed problems with the technique without CPB, where complications occurred related to the patency of anastomoses and with the highest rates of incomplete revascularization.<sup>5-7</sup> Thus, although the criteria for CABG indication are well defined, the choice is based on the clinical profile and the surgeon's experience. The current opportunity for the technique without CPB might be related to the increased number of fragile patients referred for CABG,<sup>8</sup> based on the concept of applying the correct procedure to the correct patient. Current results for CABG with CPB show a reduction in the incidence of stroke,<sup>9</sup> although problems with an increase in bleeding and renal dysfunction persists for high-risk patients.

In Brazil, the proportion of patients who undergo CABG without CPB is variable, as are the morbimortality results.<sup>10</sup> The lack of a national guideline that recommends the handling of CPB through goal directed perfusion, security protocols, and

**Mailing Address:** Omar Asdrúbal Vilca Mejía •

Universidade de São Paulo - Faculdade de Medicina Hospital das Clínicas - Instituto do Coração (INCOR).

44 Dr. Enéas de Carvalho Aguiar Avenue, Block II - 2nd floor, 11 room -

POSTAL CODE 05403-000 - São Paulo, SP - Brazil.

E-mail: omar.mejia@incor.usp.br

Manuscript received March 13, 2019, revised manuscript August 12, 2019, aceito em 18/08/2019

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.36660/abc.20190145>

real-time monitoring could be influencing the results; thus, there is a gap in our understanding of the impact of CPB on CABG.

The goal of this study was to evaluate the current impact of CPB on the morbimortality of patients undergoing CABG recorded in the REPLICCAR, the largest cardiovascular surgery registry in São Paulo State.

## Methods

### Samples

The total number of samples included 5222 patients, of which 2905 underwent CABG at the 11 participating institutions of the REPLICCAR study.<sup>11</sup> The patients were consecutively submitted to surgery from November 2013 to December 2016 at the following hospitals:

- 1- Instituto do Coração do Hospital das Clínicas da FMUSP
- 2- Beneficência Portuguesa de São Paulo
- 3- Hospital de Clínicas da UNICAMP
- 4- Irmandade da Santa Casa de Piracicaba
- 5- Irmandade da Santa Casa de São Paulo
- 6- Hospital Paulo Sacramento de Jundiá
- 7- Hospital Pitangueiras do Grupo SOBAM
- 8- Hospital das Clínicas de Ribeirão Preto
- 9- Hospital São Paulo da UNIFESP
- 10- Hospital de Base de São José do Rio Preto
- 11- Irmandade da Santa Casa de Marília

### Inclusion Criteria

All the patients were aged  $\geq 18$  years old and underwent CABG either electively, urgently, or because of an emergency during the established period.

### Exclusion Criteria

Patients who underwent associated surgeries (including valve, thoracic aorta, and other surgeries) and alternative procedures to treat coronary insufficiency (laser, stem cell injections, and others) were excluded.

### Data Collection, Definition, and Organization

The analysis was based on the REPLICCAR I database, which is a prospective, multicenter, mandatory registry that includes data collected between November 2013 and December 2016. The data collection was performed by people who had a degree and were trained for this exact purpose in each participating center. The data were incorporated into the website <http://bdcardio.incor.usp.br/>, through 4 available interfaces, including preoperative, intraoperative, postoperative, and evaluation after 30 days. Patient follow-up was performed through telephone interviews. The completeness and veracity of the data were overseen by the executive records committee. The definitions of variables were adopted from EUROSCORE II and the mortality calculation was performed using <http://www.EuroSCORE.org/calc.html>.

The analyzed outcomes were: morbidity (reoperation for bleeding, cardiogenic shock, stroke, surgical site infection, mediastinitis, pneumonia, acute myocardial infarction, and acute kidney injury) and surgical mortality in the period between the surgery and the 30-day evaluation, or otherwise, discharge from the hospital.

### Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using STATA software version 13.1 (StataCorp, Texas, USA). The *Shapiro-Wilk* test was used to evaluate data distribution normality. The variables: BMI ( $< 18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$  low,  $18.5\text{-}24.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$  normal,  $25\text{-}29.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$  overweight and  $\geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$  obesity), NYHA Classification (I and II and III and IV), EuroSCORE II ( $< 5\%$  and  $\geq 5\%$ ), glycosylated hemoglobin ( $\leq 7\%$  and  $> 7\%$ ), ejection fraction ( $< 30\%$ ,  $30\text{-}50\%$ ,  $\geq 50\%$ ), Hematocrit ( $< 30\%$  e;  $\geq 30\%$ ) and creatinine levels ( $\leq 1.4 \text{ mg/dL}$  and  $> 1.4 \text{ mg/dL}$ ) were categorized as absolute and relative frequencies, with the binomial confidence interval of 95%. Continuous variables were assessed for the difference using the *Mann-Whitney* test, due to the data distribution. However, the Chi-square or Fisher's Exact tests were used for the comparison of categories. Asymmetric continuous variables were described as median and interquartile range. The outcome variables (postoperative complications) were analyzed using univariate logistic regression and odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals were expressed. P values  $< 5\%$  were considered significant.

### Ethics and Consent Form

This study is a subanalysis of the project entitled "Heart Surgery Programs Innovation Using Surgical Risk Stratification at the São Paulo State Public Healthcare System" registered online under number 9696 at the Ethics Committee for Research Projects Analysis Extended Diagnosis Clusters (CAPPesq) of Hospital das Clínicas da Faculdade de Medicina Universidade de São Paulo (HCFMUSP).

## Results

A total of 2905 patients who underwent coronary artery bypass graft surgery were analyzed during the study period. Of these, 542 (18.7%) did not undergo cardiopulmonary bypass during the procedure. There were no significant differences concerning the patients' age between the groups ( $p=0.81$ ), as the median age of patients submitted to CPB was 63 years old (56-69), whereas in the group without CPB it was 64 years old (56-71). 72.9% of the patients were males.

Table 1 shows the preoperative characteristics of the evaluated groups, where the sample homogeneity was presented. A high prevalence of prior myocardial infarction were observed in both groups ( $> 40\%$ ), however, it was significantly higher in the patients selected for the procedure with the use of CPB ( $p=0.005$ ). There was no significant difference in relation to the median value of the EuroSCORE II ( $p=0.482$ ) for both groups.

Table 2 shows that patients with glycosylated hemoglobin  $> 7\%$  underwent surgery with CPB ( $p=0.008$ ). When the limitation was related to the heart, patients with ejection

**Table 1** - Preoperative characteristics of the patients who underwent coronary artery bypass graft with and without CPB. REPLICCAR, São Paulo, 2019

Characteristics	CPB				95% CI	p Value
	Yes (n= 2363)		No (n= 542)			
	N	%	N	%		
Age, median, IQR	63 (56-69) *		64(56-71) *		62.4 – 62.6	0.081 †
Gender					0.25 – 0.29	0.125 ‡
Male	1737	73.5	381	70.3		
Female	625	26.5	161	29.7		
BMI					27.3 – 27.6	0.809 ‡
<18.5	14	0.6	4	0.7		
18.5–24.9	709	30	173	31.9		
25–29.9	1057	44.7	234	43.2		
≥ 30	583	24.7	131	24.2		
Prior myocardial infarction	1142	48.3	226	41.7	0.45 – 0.49	<b>0.005 ‡</b>
Prior stenting	389	16.5	98	18.1	0.15 – 0.18	0.363 ‡
Previous heart surgery	36	1.5	4	0.7	0.01 – 0.02	0.157 ‡
Insulin-dependent diabetes	382	16.2	96	17.7	0.15 – 0.18	0.381 ‡
COPD	17	0.7	4	0.7	0.004 – 0.01	0.963 ‡
Functional class IV angina	442	18.7	91	16.8	0.17 – 0.20	0.299 ‡
NYHA					0.32 – 0.36	0.917 ‡
I & II	1562	66.1	357	65.9		
III & IV	801	33.9	185	34.1		
EuroSCORE II					0.07 – 0.09	0.482 ‡
< 5%	2156	91.5	501	92.4		
≥ 5%	200	8.5	41	7.6		

BMI: body mass index; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. \* Median and interquartile range (IQR), † Mann Whitney, ‡ Chi-Square or Fisher's exact test.

fraction <50% were chosen to undergo surgery with CPB ( $p=0.031$ ). The type of intervention was not associated with hematocrit and creatinine levels in the various cutoffs analyzed in this study.

The intraoperative factors (Table 3) verified that when the off-pump technique was chosen, in relation to the on-pump, there was a greater use of radial artery grafting ( $p<0.001$ ) over the right internal thoracic artery (RITA) ( $p<0.001$ ); however, the use of the left internal thoracic artery (LITA) grafts was not significantly associated ( $p=0.276$ ) with any of the techniques.

The postoperative events (Table 4) did not identify a significant association with the occurrence of stroke up to 30 days after surgery, with a similar proportion between patients with and without CPB ( $p=0.473$ ). The use of CPB was not related to surgical mortality ( $p=0.761$ ). However, it was associated with reoperation for bleeding ( $p=0.001$ ), leading to a 6.2-fold increased risk of bleeding (B=1.8, 95% CI, 0.41-3.23).

## Discussion

Evidence has shown that the decrease in inflammatory response in off-pump CABG results in a decrease in organic dysfunctions,<sup>2</sup> as well as lower rates of vasoplegia and kidney injury.<sup>12</sup> This retrospective analysis in large populations confirms a significant decrease in morbimortality when CABG is performed without CPB.<sup>13,14</sup> Furthermore, an analysis of the 4 largest centers in the United States of America (USA) has shown benefits when CABG is performed without CPB, mainly in high-risk patients.<sup>15</sup> Two studies published regarding the same time period, one in the USA<sup>16</sup> and the other in Brazil,<sup>17</sup> also revealed an increased risk of death in patients undergoing CABG with CPB compared with those without CPB, especially in the high-risk group. Similarly, an analysis of 30 years of CABG without CPB showed a significant decrease in hospital mortality outcomes, such as stroke, severe postoperative complications, hospitalization time, and cost reduction.<sup>18</sup>

Nonetheless, randomized high impact trials did not show any difference in favor of CABG without CPB regarding the morbimortality.<sup>19-21</sup> In our analysis, with a current sample and multicentric study, the only difference found in favor of

**Table 2 - Preoperative evaluation of the patients who underwent coronary artery bypass graft with and without CPB. REPLICCAR, São Paulo, 2019**

Pre-operative exams	CPB				95% CI	p Value
	Yes (n=2362)		Yes (n=2362)			
	n	%	N	%		
<b>Glycosylated hemoglobin</b>					6.6 – 6.9	0.008 ‡
≤ 7%	784	68.0	159	77.0		
> 7%	369	32.0	47	23.0		
<b>Ejection fraction</b>					56.5 – 57.3	0.031 ‡
< 30%	36	1.5	4	0.7		
30 – 50%	474	20.1	87	16.1		
≥ 50%	1853	78.4	451	83.2		
<b>Hematocrit</b>					39.9 – 40.3	0.218 ‡
≥ 30%	2284	96.7	518	95.6		
< 30%	79	3.3	24	4.4		
<b>Creatinine</b>					1.1 – 1.2	0.651 ‡
≤ 1.4 mg/dL	2049	86.7	466	86.0		
> 1.4 mg/dL	314	13.3	76	14.0		

‡ Chi-Square or Fisher's exact test.

**Table 3 - Intraoperative factors of the patients who underwent coronary artery bypass graft with and without CPB. REPLICCAR, São Paulo, 2019**

Intraoperative	CPB				95% CI	p value
	Yes (n=2362)		No (n=542)			
	N	%	n	%		
<b>LITA</b>	2221	94	516	95.2	0.93 – 0.95	0.276 ‡
<b>RITA</b>	282	11.9	30	5.5	0.09 – 0.12	<0.001 ‡
<b>Radial</b>	134	5.7	114	21	0.08 – 0.1	<0.001 ‡

‡ Chi-Square or Fisher's exact test.

**Table 4 - Univariate logistic regression of the postoperative complications of patients who underwent coronary artery bypass graft with and without CPB. REPLICCAR, São Paulo, 2019**

Postoperative events and mortality	CPB			OR	CI 95%	p Value
	Yes (n=2362)	No (n=542)				
<b>Reoperation for Bleeding</b>	53 (2.2)	2 (0.4)		6.2	1.5 - 25.5	0.012
<b>Cardiogenic shock</b>	77 (3.3)	20 (3.7)		0.88	0.53 - 1.45	0.614
<b>Stroke</b>	19 (0.8)	5 (0.9)		0.87	0.32 - 2.3	0.784
<b>Surgical site infection</b>	286 (12.1)	55 (10.2)		1.2	0.9 - 1.7	0.203
<b>Mediastinitis</b>	16 (0.7)	6 (1.1)		0.61	0.24 - 1.6	0.303
<b>Pneumonia</b>	163 (6.9)	30 (5.5)		1.3	0.85 - 1.89	0.251
<b>Acute myocardial infarction</b>	38 (1.6)	12 (2.2)		0.72	0.37 - 1.4	0.330
<b>Acute kidney injury</b>	118 (5.0)	32 (5.9)		0.84	0.56 - 1.25	0.338
<b>Death</b>	102 (4.3)	25 (4.6)		0.93	0.57 - 1.46	0.761

OR: Odds ratio

CABG without CPB was the lower number of reoperations for bleeding. This was also verified in the analysis of Lamy et al.,<sup>22</sup> that when no significant difference occurred in morbidity and mortality, there was a decrease in the need for reoperation for bleeding. The rate of reoperation for bleeding found in this present study is similar to that found in another study,<sup>23</sup> but conversely, the mortality rate was 4.5 times higher in patients who had this complication.

The prevalence of CABG without CPB in our sample is similar to the values reported in other studies,<sup>24</sup> suggesting adherence to the guidelines regarding the choice of technique and the inclusion of all the patients in the registry. The analysis also evidenced that, when the surgical limitation was related to the heart manipulation, such as prior myocardial infarction and/or ventricular dysfunction, the group leaned towards choosing the technique with CPB. However, good results were also found when CABG was performed without CPB.<sup>25</sup> Conversely, when limitations were related to patient severity, NYHA IV or an emergency situation, the choice was off-pump surgery. This confirms the studies that showed a preference for CABG without CPB in unstable patients.<sup>26</sup> The higher utilization of radial arterial grafts in CABG without CPB can be explained by the shorter time required for graft preparation in relation to the double thoracic artery graft, mainly in acute cases.

Cantero et al.<sup>27</sup> reported a hospital mortality rate of 4.3% and 4.7% in a group without CPB and with CPB, respectively ( $p=0.92$ ), similar values to those found in this study ( $p=0.76$ ). However, the difference from this study is that patients submitted to surgery without CPB had fewer complications related to myocardial infarction ( $p=0.02$ ) and the use of the intra-aortic balloon pump ( $p=0.01$ ).

In this study, we did not find any significant correlation with the female gender and a higher index of negative outcomes, as described by Sá et al.,<sup>28</sup> which may be related to the sample size of the different studies.

The risk scores are prediction instruments that help patients and health professionals in their decision making about probable risks of complications or death. In a study conducted at InCor-HCFMUSP, a cutoff was found for the EuroSCORE and the 2000BP that would help in decision making to not use CPB with CABG.<sup>17</sup> This study uses EuroSCORE II, the same that underestimated our observed mortality, which would contradict its utilization in decision making regarding the studied sample. This confirms the recommendations of the last European guideline, where the total use of EII for the prediction of mortality after CABG is contraindicated.<sup>29</sup>

The limitations of this study are as follows: (1) The influence of variations in the handling of CPB and non-CPB protocols used in each participating center were not analyzed;

(2) There were no important analyses, such as the use of antiplatelet agents and the use of antifibrinolytics in patients who underwent CABG. However, it is known that the use of protocols following current evidence has considerably reduced the increased risk of bleeding.<sup>30,31</sup>

In summary, randomized clinical trials did not find a short-term reduction in morbidity and mortality demonstrated in observational studies when CABG was performed without CPB. In the future, the use of a more monitored and real-time CPB, including online gasometry and goal-directed therapy, may highlight the advantages of using CPB. Finally, it is important to reiterate that the current state-of-the-art condition is that multidisciplinary groups define and choose the correct technique for the right patient.

## Conclusion

Patients chosen for CABG with CPB were the most clinically stable, but with worse ventricular function than those without CPB. Reoperation for bleeding was the only outcome associated with the current CPB practice in São Paulo State; however, this complication did not influence the increase in the number of deaths.

## Author Contributions

Conception and design of the research: Borgomoni GB, Mejia OAV, Lisboa LAF, Conte PH, Oliveira MAP, Petrucci Junior O, Tiveron M; Acquisition of data: Borgomoni GB, Mejia OAV, Conte PH, Oliveira MAP, Petrucci Junior O, Tiveron M, Dallan LAO, Jatene FB; Analysis and interpretation of the data: Borgomoni GB, Mejia OAV, Goncharov M, Lisboa LAF, Conte PH, Oliveira MAP, Fiorelli AI, Petrucci Junior O, Tiveron M, Dallan LAO; Statistical analysis: Borgomoni GB, Mejia OAV, Orlandi BMM, Goncharov M; Writing of the manuscript: Borgomoni GB; Critical revision of the manuscript for intellectual content: Mejia OAV, Lisboa LAF, Dallan LAO, Jatene FB.

## Potential Conflict of Interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

## Sources of Funding

This study was funded by FAPESP.

## Study Association

This study is not associated with any thesis or dissertation work.

## References

1. LaPar DJ, Filardo G, Crosby IK, Speir AM, Rich JB, Kron IL, et al. The challenge of achieving 1% operative mortality for coronary artery bypass grafting: a multi-institution Society of Thoracic Surgeons Database analysis. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2014;148(6):2686–96.
2. Weiland AP, Walker WE. Physiologic principles and clinical sequelae of cardiopulmonary bypass. *Heart Lung.* 1986;15(1):34-9.
3. Buffolo E, Andrade JCS, Succi JE, Leão LEV, Branco JNR, Cueva C, et al. Direct myocardial revascularization without extra-corporeal circulation: results in 391 patients. *Rev Bras Cir Cardiovasc.* 1986;1(1):32-9.
4. Puskas JD, Edwards FH, Pappas PA, O'Brien S, Peterson ED, Kilgo P, et al. Off-pump techniques benefit men and women and narrow the disparity in mortality after coronary bypass grafting. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2007;84(5):1447-56.
5. Angelini GD, Culliford L, Smith DK, Hamilton MC, Murphy GJ, Ascione R, et al. Effects of on- and off-pump coronary artery surgery on graft patency, survival and quality of life: long term follow-up of two randomised controlled trials. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2009;137(2):295-303.
6. Legare JF, Buth KJ, King S, Wood J, Sullivan JA, Hancock Friesen C, et al. Coronary bypass surgery performed off pump does not result in lower in-hospital morbidity than coronary artery bypass grafting performed on pump. *Circulation.* 2004;109(7):887-92.
7. Shroyer AL, Grover FL, Hattler B, Collins JF, McDonald GO, Kozora E, et al. On-pump versus off-pump coronary-artery bypass surgery. *N Engl J Med.* 2009 Nov 5;361:1827-37.
8. Mejía OAV, Sá MPBO, Deininger MO, Dallan LRP, Segalote RC, Oliveira MAP, et al. Off-pump versus On-pump Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting in Frail Patients: Study Protocol for the FRAGILE Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial. *Braz J Cardiovasc Surg.* 2017;32(5):428-34.
9. Tarakji KG, Sabik JF 3rd, Bhudia SK, et al. Temporal onset, risk factors, and outcomes associated with stroke after coronary artery bypass grafting. *JAMA.* 2011;305(4):381-90.
10. Piegas, L S, Bittar OJN, Haddad N. Myocardial Revascularization Surgery (MRS). Results from National Health System (SUS). *Arq Bras Cardiol.* 2009;93(5):555-60.
11. Mejía OAV, Lisboa LAF, Dallan LAO, Pomerantzeff PMA, Trindade EM, Jatene FB, et al. Heart surgery programs innovation using surgical risk stratification at the São Paulo State Public Healthcare System: SP-SCORE-SUS STUDY. *Rev Bras Cir Cardiovasc.* 2013;28(2):263-9.
12. Hossne Junior NA, Miranda M, Monteiro MR, Branco JNR, Vargas GF, Pestana JOMA, et al. Cardiopulmonary bypass increases the risk of vasoplegic syndrome after coronary artery bypass grafting in patients with dialysis-dependent chronic renal failure. *Rev Bras Cir Cardiovasc.* 2015;30(4):482–8.
13. Hannan EL, Wu C, Smith CR, Higgins RS, Carlson RE, Culliford AT, et al. Off-pump versus on-pump coronary artery bypass graft surgery: differences in short-term outcomes and in long-term mortality and need for subsequent revascularization. *Circulation.* 2007;116(10):1145-52.
14. Puskas JD, Edwards FH, Pappas PA, O'Brien S, Peterson ED, Kilgo P, et al. Off-pump techniques benefit men and women and narrow the disparity in mortality after coronary bypass grafting. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2007;84(5):1447-56.
15. Mack MJ, Pfister A, Bachand D, Emery R, Magee MJ, Connolly M, et al. Comparison of coronary bypass surgery with and without cardiopulmonary bypass in patients with multivessel disease. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2004;127(1):167-73.
16. Polomsky M, He X, O'Brien SM, Puskas JD. Outcomes of off-pump versus on-pump coronary artery bypass grafting: impact of preoperative risk. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2013;145(5):1193-8.
17. Mejia OA, Lisboa LA, Puig LB, Moreira LF, Dallan LA, Jatene FB. On-pump or off-pump? Impact of risk scores in coronary artery bypass surgery. *Rev Bras Cir Cardiovasc.* 2012;27(4):503-11.
18. Buffolo E, Lima RC, Salerno TA. Myocardial revascularization without cardiopulmonary bypass: historical background and thirty-year experience. *Rev Bras Cir Cardiovasc.* 2011;26(3):3-7.
19. Moller CH, Penninga L, Wetterslev J, Steinbruchel DA, Gluud C. Clinical outcomes in randomized trials of off- vs. on-pump coronary artery bypass surgery: systematic review with meta-analyses and trial sequential analyses. *Eur Heart J.* 2008;29(21):2601-16.
20. Shroyer AL, Grover FL, Hattler B, Collins JF, McDonald GO, Kozora E, et al.. On-pump versus off-pump coronary-artery bypass surgery. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;361(19):1827-37.
21. Diegeler A, Borgermann J, Kappert V, Breuer M, Boning A, Ursulescu A, et al. Off-pump versus on-pump coronary-artery bypass grafting in elderly patients. *N Engl J Med.* 2013;368(13):1189-98.
22. Lamy A, Devereaux PJ, Prabhakaran D, Taggart DP, Hu S, Paolasso E, et al. Off-pump or on-pump coronary-artery bypass grafting at 30 days. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;366(16):1489-97.
23. Mehta RH, Sheng S, O'Brien SM, Grover FL, Gammie JS, Ferguson TB, et al. Reoperation for bleeding in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery: incidence, risk factors, time trends, and outcomes. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes.* 2009;2(6):583-90.
24. Bakaeen FG, Shroyer AL, Gammie JS, Sabik JF, Cornwell LD, Coselli JS, et al. Trends in use of off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting: results from the Society of Thoracic Surgeons Adult Cardiac Surgery Database. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2014;148(3):856-3.
25. Caputti GM, Palma JH, Gaia DF, Buffolo E. Off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery in selected patients is superior to the conventional approach for patients with severely depressed left ventricular function. *Clinics.* 2011;66(12):2049-53.
26. Fattouch K, Guccione F, Dioguardi P, Sampognaro R, Corrado E, Caruso M, et al: Off-pump versus on-pump myocardial revascularization in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: A randomized trial. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 137(3):650-6.27.
27. Cantero MA, Almeida RM, Galhardo R. Analysis of immediate results of on-pump versus off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting surgery. *Rev Bras Cir Cardiovasc.* 2012;27(1):38-44.
28. Sá MPBO, Lima LP, Rueda FG, Escobar RR, Cavalcanti PEF, Thé ECS, et al. Estudo comparativo entre cirurgia de revascularização miocárdica com e sem circulação extracorpórea em mulheres. *Rev Bras Cir Cardiovasc.* 2010;25(2):238-44.
29. Neumann FJ, Sousa-Uva M, Ahlsson A, Alfonso F, Banning AP, Benedetto U, et al. 2018 ESC/EACTS guidelines on myocardial revascularization. *Eur Heart J.* 2018;40(2):87-165.
30. Berger JS, Frye CB, Harshaw Q, Edwards FH, Steinhubl SR, Becker RC. Impact of clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes requiring coronary artery bypass surgery: a multicenter analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2008;52(21):1693-701.
31. Myles PS, Smith JA, Forbes A, Silbert B, Jayarajah M, Painter T, et al. Tranexamic acid in patients undergoing coronary-artery surgery. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;376(2):136-48.



This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License