

## Waist Circumference: A Simple Measure for Childhood Obesity?

Luciana Nicolau Aranha<sup>1</sup> and Gláucia Maria Moraes de Oliveira<sup>1</sup>

Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro,<sup>1</sup> Rio de Janeiro, RJ - Brazil

Short Editorial related to the article: *Waist Circumference Percentiles and Cut-Off Values for Obesity in a Large Sample of Students from 6 To 10 Years Old Of The São Paulo State, Brazil*

Childhood obesity has been increasing all over the world and considered one of the major challenges in public health. The prevalence increased from less than 1% in 1975 to 5.6% and 7.8% among girls and boys, respectively, in 2016.<sup>1</sup> No less worrying are the recent data from the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE) showing that in 2008-2009, 51.4% of boys and 43.8% of girls aged between 5 and 9 years were overweight or obese.<sup>2</sup>

Obese children and adolescents are five times more likely to become obese adults.<sup>3</sup> Besides, childhood obesity has been associated with hypertension, insulin resistance, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, and increased morbidity and mortality in adult life.<sup>4</sup> Therefore, it is important to screen for excess body fat in this population and create strategies to prevent the development of chronic diseases in the future.

Anthropometric indicators have been suggested as epidemiological screening tools to detect children and adolescents with high cardiometabolic risk, because of their non-invasiveness, low cost, and easy application.<sup>5,6</sup> Waist circumference (WC), for example, is an indicator of central adiposity associated with metabolic complications of obesity in the pediatric population.<sup>7,8</sup> However, the cut-off points of WC for classification of abdominal adiposity in children and adolescents have not been established yet, which limits its use.

Studies describing percentile values of WC have reported different results; WC measurements may be affected by age, sex and ethnicity,<sup>9-11</sup> which makes the establishment of global reference values difficult.

In the current issue of *Arquivos Brasileiros de Cardiologia*, Santos et al.<sup>12</sup> published a longitudinal study with 22,000

children (11,199 boys) aged between 6 and 10 years, attending public and private schools of 13 cities in Sao Paulo state. The authors presented WC reference curves for age and sex and cut-off points to identify children at risk for obesity. The authors described that approximately 30% of the children had excess body fat and classified as overweight according to body mass index. ROC curve analysis revealed that the 75th percentile was the optimal cut-off point for overweight and obesity, and that obesity was easily diagnosed among children with WC values above the 85th percentile.<sup>12</sup>

When the WC curves (50th percentile) were compared with results of another Brazilian study with 2,919 students aged 7 to 10 years carried out in Florianopolis, Brazil, in 2007,<sup>13</sup> Santos et al.<sup>12</sup> observed that the current percentile curves are higher, with an increase of up to 4.0 cm among girls at the age of 10. These discrepancies may be explained by methodological differences, although the method used for WC measurements was the same in both studies. However, it is known that the Brazilian population is highly mixed and, as above mentioned, WC can be influenced by ethnicity, which may explain the difference between the results.

Again, few studies have addressed cut-off values for WC in a large population including different ethnical groups in Brazil, which reinforces the importance of these investigations to contribute to the scientific literature. However, as the authors mentioned as limitation of the study, the percentiles curves were established based a sample of children in the State of Sao Paulo, and hence it is advisable that representative samples of all geographic regions of the country be studied for generalization of results. Besides, the values proposed need to be validated in other populations with similar characteristics.

Recently, Xi et al.<sup>14</sup> proposed international WC percentile cut-off points, specific for age and sex, to define central obesity based on data of 113,453 children and adolescents aged 4-20 years from eight countries in different regions (Bulgaria, China, Iran, Korea, Malaysia, Poland, Seychelles, and Switzerland). The 90th percentile was established as WC cut-offs to detect central obesity in this population, with good performance in predicting cardiovascular risk in normal weight children and was suggested to be used in the assessment of abdominal adiposity in children and adolescents in different countries.

### Keywords

Child; Adolescent; Pediatric Obesity; Overweight; Risk Factors; Body Weights and Measures.

**Mailing Address:** Gláucia Maria Moraes de Oliveira •

Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro – R. Prof. Rodolpho P. Rocco, 255 – 8º. Andar – Sala 6, UFRJ. Postal Code 21941-913, Cidade Universitária, RJ – Brazil

E-mail: glauciam@cardiol.br, glauciamoraesoliveira@gmail.com

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.36660/abc.20200031>

### References

1. NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). Worldwide trends in body-mass index, underweight, overweight, and obesity from 1975 to 2016: a pooled analyses of 2416 population-based measurement studies in 128.9 million children, adolescents, and adults. *Lancet*. 2017; 390(10113):2627-42.
2. Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (IBGE). Pesquisa de Orçamentos Familiares 2008-2009: antropometria e estado nutricional de crianças, adolescentes e adultos no Brasil. Rio de Janeiro, 2010.
3. Simmonds M, Llevellyn A, Owen CG, Woolacott N. Predicting adult obesity from childhood obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Rev*. 2016; 17 (2): 95-107.
4. Sommer A, Twig G. The impact of childhood and adolescent obesity on cardiovascular risk in adulthood: a systematic review. *Curr Diab Rep*. 2018; 18 (10):91.
5. Lichtenauer M, Wheatley SD, Martyn-St James M, Duncan MJ, Cobayashi F, Berg G, et al. Efficacy of anthropometric measures for identifying cardiovascular disease risk in adolescents: review and meta-analysis. *Minerva Pediatr*. 2018; 70(4):371-82.
6. De Quadros TMB, Gordia AP, Andaki ACR, Mendes EL, Mota J, Silva LR. Utility of anthropometric indicators to screen for clustered cardiometabolic risk factors in children and adolescents. *J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab*. 2019;32(1):49-55.
7. Burgos MS, Burgos LT, Camargo MD, Franke SI, Prá D, Silva AM, et al. Relationship between anthropometric measures and cardiovascular risk factors in children and adolescents. *Arq Bras Cardiol*. 2013;101(4):288-96.
8. Sardinha LB, Santos DA, Silva AM, Grøntved A, Andersen LB, Ekelund U. A comparison between BMI, Waist Circumference, and Waist-to-Height Ratio for identifying cardio-metabolic risk in children and adolescents. *PLoS One*. 2016; 11(2):e0149351.
9. Fernández JR, Redden DP, Pietrobelli A, Allison DB. Waist circumference percentiles in nationally representative samples of African-American, European-American, and Mexican children and adolescents. *J Pediatr*. 2004;145(4):439-44.
10. Nawarcycz T, Haas GM, Krzyzaniak A, Schwandt P, Ostrowska-Nawarcycz L. Waist circumference and waist-to-height ratio distributions in Polish and German schoolchildren: comparative analysis. *Int J Prev Med*. 2013;4(7):786-96.
11. Ramírez-Vélez, Moreno-Jiménez J, Correa-Bautista JE, Martínez-Torres J, González-Ruiz K, González-Jiménez E, et al. Using LMS tables to determine waist circumference and waist-to-height ratios in Colombian children and adolescents: the FUPRECOL study. *BMC Pediatr*. 2017;17(1):162.
12. Santos JLF, Valério VP, Fernandes RN, Duarte L, Assumpção AC, Guerreiro J, et al. Os percentis e pontos de corte da circunferência da cintura para obesidade em uma ampla amostra de estudantes de 6 a 10 anos de idade do estado de São Paulo, Brasil. *Arq Bras Cardiol*. 2020; 114(3):530-537
13. de Assis MA, Rolland-Cachera MF, de Vaconcellos FA, Bellisle F, Conde W, Calvo MC, et al. Central adiposity in Brazilian schoolchildren aged 7-10 years. *Br J Nutr*. 2007;97(4):799-805.

