Objective - To study cardiovascular alterations in young patients with no apparent organic disease who underwent electroconvulsive therapy.

Methods - The study comprised 47 healthy patients (22 males and 25 females) with a mean age of 30.3 years, who underwent electroconvulsive therapy. Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring and continuous electrocardiographic monitoring (Holter monitor) were performed during 24 hours. Blood pressure and heart rate were assessed 4 hours prior to electric shock administration, during electric shock administration, and 3 hours after electric shock administration. Arrhythmias and alterations in the ST segment in 24 hours were recorded.

Results - On electroconvulsive therapy, a significant increase in blood pressure and heart rate was observed and the measurements returned to basal values after 25 minutes. Three females had tracings with depression of the ST segment suggesting myocardial ischemia prior to and after electroconvulsive therapy. Coronary angiography was normal. No severe cardiac arrhythmias were diagnosed.

Conclusion - 1) Electroconvulsive therapy is a safe therapeutic modality in psychiatry; 2) it causes a significant increase in blood pressure and heart rate; 3) it may be associated with myocardial ischemia in the absence of coronary obstructive disease; 4) electroconvulsive therapy was not associated with the occurrence of severe cardiac arrhythmias.
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Instituto do Coração of the Hospital das Clínicas of the Medical School of the University of São Paulo (InCOR-HC-FMUSP). The following procedures were performed: a detailed anamnesis and physical examination, resting electrocardiography, chest X-rays, and biochemical examinations. The biochemical examinations were as follows: fasting glycemia; serum measurements of sodium, potassium, urea, creatinine, and transaminases; complete hemogram; and coagulation study. In specific cases, other examinations were performed, such as Doppler-echocardiography, an exercise test, and cardiac catheterization. In addition, the patients underwent dental assessment, ophthalmoscopy, and neurological evaluation with computed tomography, when necessary.

The most common indications for electroconvulsive therapy were depression (50%) and schizophrenia (30%), bipolar disorders (10%), and others being less frequent.

The following patients were excluded from the study: patients with cardiac diseases (coronary artery disease, valvular heart diseases, cardiomyopathies, congenital heart diseases, pulmonary hypertension, arterial hypertension, and pacemaker implantation), and patients with noncardiac diseases associated with cardiovascular alterations (chronic pulmonary disease, diabetes, stroke, thyroid diseases, expansive cerebral processes, recent cerebral hemorrhage, glaucoma, retinal detachment, pheochromocytoma, and liver diseases). We also excluded from the study patients with persistent electrocardiographic alterations that could hinder the analysis of ventricular repolarization, such as alterations of the ST segment during respiratory and postural maneuvers, marked left ventricular overload, intraventricular and atioventricular conduction disorders, and the constant use of medications, such as beta-blockers, calcium channel antagonists, and psychotropics (benzodiazepines, tricyclic and tetracyclic antidepressants, antipsychotic agents of the class of the phenothiazines and butyrophenones). Medications were suspended 3 to 7 days prior to electroconvulsive therapy.

Methods

Electroconvulsive therapy was performed at the IPq-HC-FMUSP. All patients had been fasting for at least 8 hours, were not using a dental prosthesis, contact lenses, or any ornament, and were wearing proper clothing. The procedure room was provided with defibrillator and drugs necessary for cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Electrodes were placed in the patients’ temporal region and a peripheral vein was punctured for administration of anesthetics.

The patients underwent short-lasting general anesthesia. Atropine was not used for preanesthesia induction to avoid bradycardia caused by atropine, which could interfere with the results, masking possible bradyarrhythmias during the procedure. Then, etomidate was administered as an anesthetic (0.2 to 0.3 mg/kg), because this drug does not cause electrocardiographic alterations or arterial hypotension. Then, curarization with succinylcholine (0.5 mg/kg) was performed. The Guedel airway was used to optimize oxygen ventilation with a mask and Ambu bag during the convulsive crisis; a protective device was placed between the dental arches. The mean duration of the convulsive crises was 38±5 seconds. Blood pressure, the electrocardiographic tracing, and the index of oxygen saturation were monitored before and during each convulsive crisis, because ventilation was not interrupted during convulsion. After the crisis, the patients remained in a contiguous room to [OK? See change] recover from the anesthesia while under medical and nursing supervision.

Mectra and Thyratron devices were used to trigger the convulsive crises. The Mectra device (US household version) had waves of the fixed, biphasic, and short-pulse type, with 17 to 576 mC capacity, a constant current of 550-800 mA, 6 phases, and frequency of 0.5 to 2.0 seconds. The DGx model of the Thyratron had a basic exit mode of 25 to 504 mQ, an operational exit mode of 50 to 1,000 mQ, and load control calibrated in percentages.

All patients received food prior to being discharged from the hospital.

The patients were referred to the electrocardiology service, the ambulatory monitoring unit, and to the ambulatory blood pressure monitoring service of InCor-HC-FMUSP, at previously scheduled fixed hours to have their electrocardiography and blood pressure monitoring devices placed for measurements. The tape recorders were placed between 2 and 3 PM and remained with the patients for 24 hours, a period of time comprising the moment of the stimulus (electric shock), which usually occurred around 9 AM of the following day. The recordings of both methods were simultaneous, so that the recording hours of the electrocardiography and blood pressure measurement coincided. All patients and their family members were advised in regard to the procedure, and they filled out a report with information about behavior, meal and resting hours, and this report was delivered to the researcher on the following day.

Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring was programmed to take measurements every 10 minutes during 24 consecutive hours, simultaneously with those of the electrocardiographic recording period, using the Spacelabs 90207 device (Spacelabs, Redmont, Washington, USA) programmed to obtain 6 blood pressure measurements per hour in mm Hg. To assess blood pressure behavior during administration of electroconvulsive therapy, the recording hours of Holter and ambulatory blood pressure monitoring were coupled. Once the recording was finished, the values obtained were analyzed with Spacelabs software, and the means and respective standard deviations of the measurements of systolic, diastolic, and mean blood pressures were obtained.

The moments chosen were 4 hours before and 3 hours after the administration of electric shock, zero (0) time being the moment the electric stimulus was triggered, ie, the moment when the event buttons for ambulatory blood pressure monitoring and Holter monitoring were pressed. The measurements recorded every hour were analyzed and the arithmetic mean calculated; 6 measurements were taken every hour, and the means for systolic, diastolic, and mean blood pressure were calculated.

Regardless of the measurements taken every 10 minutes on ambulatory blood pressure monitoring, meaure-
ments were taken every 5 minutes during the half hour prior to and after electric shock administration, aiming at increasing the accuracy of the measurements taken close to electric stimulus administration. For this purpose, the Dixtal device was used, and, of the noninvasive methods, it was considered more precise and less subjective than manual measurement with the ordinary sphygmomanometer. Two measurements were concomitantly taken in the half hour preceding and following the administration of electric shock - ambulatory blood pressure monitoring, and Dixtal - because the use of ambulatory blood pressure monitoring at 5-minute intervals was not possible due to the calibration of the device. In addition, some patients became extremely agitated after the electric stimulus was triggered, hindering the regulation of the device for the moments very close to electric shock administration.

Patients were monitored with a Marquette 8000 portable monitor with 2 channels and wave recording in modulated amplitude (Marquette, Milwaukee, WI, USA), which had a cassette tape for 24-hour continuous recording. On the day prior to the procedure, the monitor was turned on. Patients were advised to report the occurrence of episodes of pain, chest discomfort, or any other symptoms. Through a device of the tape recorder (the event button), the moment when the electric stimulus was triggered (moment zero, simultaneous with that of ambulatory blood pressure monitoring) could be determined. Two bipolar leads, CM5 and CM1, were used as follows: 1) CM5 lead – a positive electrode was placed on the 5th rib, exactly in the left anterior axillary line, and a negative electrode was placed on the right clavicle, right beside the sternum; 2) CM1 lead – a positive electrode was placed on the 4th right sternum-costal joint, and a negative electrode was placed on the left clavicle, right beside the sternum.

To obtain the neutral, an electrode was placed close to the last right costal arches next to the midclavicular line. After the monitor was withdrawn, the tapes were analyzed with a Marquette Laser SXP (1988) processor (Marquette, Milwaukee, WI, USA). The beats were classified and manually assessed.

In all patients, electrocardiographic tracings were obtained during postural changes and respiratory maneuvers. Elevations of the ST segment = 1 mm and depressions of the ST segment with horizontal or descending morphology = 1 mm in relation to the basal line, measured 60 to 80 ms after the J point and during 1 minute or more, were considered ischemic alterations. The minimum interval admitted for the occurrence of 2 consecutive ischemic episodes was = 1 minute. Isolated alterations of the T wave were not considered.

Arrhythmias occurring during the 24-hour recording were diagnosed and manually analyzed.

In regard to heart rate, the values of maximum, medium, and minimum intensity were obtained from the recording tape of the Holter, and the mean values of those measurements were calculated using the reading program exactly at the same moments considered for blood pressure.

The quantitative variables were represented by mean and standard deviation. Different moments in each variable were compared using the analysis of variance with repeated measurements (ANOVA). When the result of the test showed a statistically significant difference between the times, the Newman-Keuls test, a type of multiple comparison procedure, was applied to identify those differences. The significance level of 0.05 (alpha = 5%) was adopted, and the descriptive levels (P) below that value were considered significant.

**Results**

The hourly means of systolic, mean, and diastolic blood pressures from 4 hours prior to the procedure to 3 hours after electric shock administration are shown in table I and figure 1. The systolic and diastolic blood pressure values measured every 5 minutes and 30 minutes before and after electric shock are shown in table II and figure 2.

The behavior of systolic, mean, and diastolic blood pressures was similar; a significant increase (p<0.001) occurred during the electric shock with return to basal levels 25 minutes after the electric shock (fig. 2).

In regard to the hourly means, the basal systolic blood pressure was 133 mm Hg; it increased to 197 mm Hg during convulsion and returned to 132 mm Hg in the hour following electric shock administration. The basal mean blood pressure was 94.1 mm Hg; it increased to 154 mm Hg during convulsion and returned to 94.6 mm Hg in the hour following electric shock administration. The basal diastolic blood pressure was 78.9 mm Hg; it increased to 128.3 mm Hg during convulsion and returned to 78.1 mm Hg in the hour following electric shock administration.

### Table I - Means and standard deviations of systolic (SBP), diastolic (DBP), and mean (MBP) blood pressures, and of mean heart rate (MHR) 4 hours before and 3 hours after electric shock administration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Periods</th>
<th>SBP</th>
<th>DBP</th>
<th>MBP</th>
<th>MHR</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-4 h</td>
<td>133.5 ± 8.1</td>
<td>78.9 ± 5.1</td>
<td>93.6 ± 7.5</td>
<td>70.8 ± 7.4</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3 h</td>
<td>131.8 ± 9.8</td>
<td>78.6 ± 7.1</td>
<td>94.3 ± 7.3</td>
<td>69.0 ± 7.4</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2 h</td>
<td>134.0 ± 9.7</td>
<td>78.1 ± 6.6</td>
<td>94.3 ± 8.8</td>
<td>69.3 ± 6.6</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1 h</td>
<td>133.3 ± 8.8</td>
<td>80.2 ± 5.7</td>
<td>94.1 ± 8.8</td>
<td>71.1 ± 6.8</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>197.0 ± 18.6</td>
<td>128.3 ± 8.7</td>
<td>154.0 ± 9.7</td>
<td>136.2 ± 9.4</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>132.0 ± 6.9</td>
<td>80.9 ± 4.8</td>
<td>94.6 ± 5.0</td>
<td>68.8 ± 5.8</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>135.5 ± 7.9</td>
<td>76.6 ± 5.8</td>
<td>93.7 ± 7.2</td>
<td>66.3 ± 7.0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 h</td>
<td>129.2 ± 8.3</td>
<td>79.2 ± 6.3</td>
<td>92.7 ± 7.4</td>
<td>68.1 ± 8.3</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 1** - Means of the systolic (SBP), mean (MBP), and diastolic (DBP) blood pressures before (basal line), during, and after electric shock administration. During electric shock administration, a significant increase in BP as compared with that of other moments was observed.
during electric shock and returned to 80.9 mm Hg in the hour following electric shock administration. In the 3 hours following electric shock administration, blood pressure levels did not change.

In the period between the 30 minutes preceding and the 30 minutes following the electric shock, systolic, mean, and diastolic blood pressures also behaved similarly: systolic blood pressure increased from 135.9 mm Hg (5 minutes before) to 197 mm Hg during the electric shock (a 48% increase) and gradually returned to 137.3 mm Hg (25 minutes after); and diastolic blood pressure increased from 80.6 mm Hg (5 minutes before) to 128.3 mm Hg during the electric shock (a 60% increase) and returned to 80.0 mm Hg (25 minutes after).

The heart rate also showed a significant increase during electric shock administration (p<0.001): basal mean heart rate increased from 70.0 bpm to 136.2 bpm during electric shock, and returned to 68.8 bpm in the following hour. The 5-minute means increased from 73 bpm (5 minutes before the shock) to 136 bpm during electric shock, and returned to 73.2 bpm (25 minutes after). In the 3 hours following the electric shock, the mean heart rate was equal to the basal one. Data about heart rate are shown in tables I and II, and figures 3 and 4.

Analyzing the electrocardiographic tracing, 3 females aged 23, 33, and 36 years had diagnostic alterations of myocardial ischemia, characterized by depression of the ST segment between 1.5 and 3 mm, of horizontal or descending morphology, occurring before, during, and after electroconvulsive therapy (fig. 5). On coronary angiography, the 3 patients had no obstructive lesions or anomalies of origin or trajectory in their coronary arteries.

Of the patients studied, 9 (19%) had isolated and sporadic supraventricular or ventricular extrasystoles. During electroconvulsive therapy, no other arrhythmias were observed.
Discussion

In the present study, we chose ambulatory monitoring to assess blood pressure, because this method has been definitively incorporated into clinical practice, and a large number of publications exist about this subject. Standardization and validation of the method are well established. Its application is justified, especially because it enhances the understanding of the variations experienced by blood pressure during 24 hours, because of the several possibilities of studying and understanding the mechanisms of blood pressure control, mainly in stressful situations. It also allows assessing the efficacy of the antihypertensive therapy being used.

It is worth pointing out that the recorder used for ambulatory blood pressure monitoring takes approximately 20 to 30 seconds to measure blood pressure; therefore, the values obtained may not reflect the actual value of blood pressure during electric shock. Perhaps the most accurate procedure for that moment would be the invasive measurement of blood pressure. However, for the other moments, that procedure would be unfeasible, because it does not allow the patient to follow his daily routine. To minimize this problem, we took measurements every 5 minutes with the Dixtal device. On the other hand, the results of ambulatory blood pressure monitoring are largely accepted to assess the impact of certain events, such as ischemic episodes, on blood pressure.

Considering the assessment of the electrocardiographic tracing, we chose to perform long-lasting electrocardiography (Holter monitoring), which is useful in analyzing heart rate, arrhythmias, and myocardial ischemia for prolonged periods. This method allows the correlation of clinical events with electrocardiographic alterations during wakefulness and sleep; its use has been largely accepted in the literature. A modulate amplitude device with direct recording and no phase distortion was used, with a frequency response of 0.5 Hz, adequate for reproducing the ST segment.

In the present study, we observed that our patients’ variables behaved homogeneously, ie, with no important variation in the measurements of blood pressure and heart rate in the period of time preceding the electric shock, in all intervals considered, but with a significant increase at the moment the stimulus was triggered.

Petrides and Fink championed the theory that increases in blood pressure values occur due to myotropic reflexes, to release of epinephrine from the adrenal medullae, and to direct stimulation of the sympathetic nervous system with release of norepinephrine. Values of circulating catecholamines of up to 15 times the basal level right after triggering the electric stimulus have been reported. Our study did not aim at analyzing the mechanisms of cardiovascular alterations during electroconvulsive therapy; however, the increase found in blood pressure and heart rate during electric shock administration are in accordance with those reported in the literature, and may be due, at least partially, to sympathetic hyperactivity.

In our case series, we observed individual values of around 250 mm Hg for systolic blood pressure, 162 mm Hg for diastolic blood pressure, and heart rate of 172 bpm during the electric shock administration. Other patients showed smaller values, such as 150 mm Hg, 110 mm Hg, and 123 bpm, for the systolic and diastolic blood pressures, and heart rate, respectively. These variations show how the response to acute stress may vary from one patient to another, but all variations are statistically significant when the values of the pre- and postshock periods of time are compared with those of the moment of triggering the stimulus for each patient. Recently, Maneksha and Zervas obtained values of up to 310 mm Hg for systolic blood pressure in previously normotensive patients undergoing electroconvulsive therapy. Rasmussen reported variations of approximately 155±3% and 164±9% for systolic blood pressure and heart rate, respectively.

Cochkey and Conti reported that, after spontaneous convulsions (not triggered by an electric stimulus), the patients did not behave the same way in regard to variation in blood pressure and heart rate as compared with patients with crises induced by electroconvulsive therapy; after spontaneous convulsions, the values were lower. Another interesting fact, considering the study of these authors, was that patients undergoing electroconvulsive therapy and not experiencing convolution (frustrated crisis) showed cardiovascular responses with an important increase in blood pressure and heart rate levels. These findings stress the controversial question in the literature, which is defining whether the cardiovascular alterations result from the electric stimulus or from the convolution itself.

In addition to the alterations in blood pressure and heart rate due to the mechanisms cited, electroconvulsive therapy could have the potential of triggering arrhythmias, such as ventricular and supraventricular extrasystoles, atrioventricular and intraventricular conduction disorders, and myocardial ischemia.

In the present study, electroconvulsive therapy did not trigger arrhythmias, probably because the individuals were young and healthy.

The presence of electrocardiographic alterations indicating ischemia during electroconvulsive therapy does not necessarily mean that an actual myocardial ischemia exists; they may result from hypothalamic stimulation. Inversions of the T wave during electroconvulsive therapy in the absence of underlying organic disorders have been reported and a neurological etiology has been considered. Stern et al reported patients with depression of the ST segment, inversion of the T wave and ventricular extrasystoles, during the convulsive crises. The authors attributed these findings to an increased myocardial oxygen requirement in patients with variable degrees of coronary obstruction. However, alterations in ventricular repolarization occur in individuals with neurological disorders and normal hearts. Tanaka and Saito attributed the inversions of the T wave to an increase in sympathetic activity. On the other hand, Swartz et al showed that the use of beta-blockers, despite redu-
cning the sympathetic overload on the cardiovascular system, did not reduce the incidence of alterations in the ST segment. In the present study, we observed the occurrence of depression of the ST segment indicating myocardial ischemia prior to, during, and after the electric shock in 3 patients. These patients were asymptomatic females of childbearing age with no antecedents of coronary disease, and with normal electrocardiographic and echocardiographic findings at rest. We chose to perform coronary angiography for anatomical diagnosis, due to the possibility of congenital anomaly of the coronary arteries and also because the patients required more sessions of electroconvulsive therapy. Coronary angiography revealed normal coronary arteries. As the diagnostic recordings of probable ischemia occurred prior to, during, and after electroconvulsive therapy, we formulated the hypothesis that they might not represent actual ischemia or might represent cases in which the clinical evidence of ischemia was not confirmed by the angiographic findings 17. In any case, the prognosis of these individuals is good 17, and no contraindication to the continuation of electroconvulsive therapy exists.

In regard to heart rate, some authors reported a significant reduction in the values right after electric shock. This reduction was attributed to vagal action immediately after the sympathetic discharge triggered by the electric stimulus of electroconvulsive therapy 18. Therefore, those authors recommended the use of atropine prior to the procedure. In the present study, atropine was not used, and no bradyarrhythmias were observed.

Considering the possible initial parasympathetic stimulus, other authors disagree with the use of beta-blockers to attenuate the hypertensive peak of electroconvulsive therapy, because the action of those medications indirectly potentiates the vagal effect and can increase the probability of asystolia 19. The use of drugs that attenuate the hypertensive peak and tachycardia occurring during the electroconvulsive-therapy sessions is still very controversial 20,21.

Clinical and psychiatric follow-up is essential for the safety of the procedure 22. Lack of adequate control of blood pressure and heart rate during electroconvulsive therapy may lead to important consequences, such as an increase in the risks of sudden death, acute myocardial infarction, meningeal hemorrhage, and strokes. The excessive increase in blood pressure may prolong the period of cognitive disorders in some patients, mainly the elderly, due to the increase in cerebral edema and the passage of neurotoxic macromolecules through the blood-brain barrier during cerebral hyperperfusion 23-25.

In our study with young adults, these consequences were not observed. However, we need to be more careful with the elderly patients and those with previous disorders. Our results suggest that the clinical assessment of patients undergoing electroconvulsive therapy is paramount, so that those with arterial hypertension, coronary heart disease, or heart failure may be adequately controlled prior to the procedure.
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